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#### Abstract

            




## Introduction

The heallhot commmontion in a semsitive denominateon Of a matmon h dexhyment. Mathya Pratesh which reprementseight percent of India's population is a wory cituratomalls hackwat state. She literacs rate for population aged serem and atone is $4 t$ b percent
 whetrice whth ite comecte of reproductive and chald heollh has a whte horionn. A woman in Indica runs a ant tmene grenter riak of dying in pregnamer and child berh ancompared to a womben in the developedworlt? Among the national mexotemegraphiegoals for the year
 in the ear 2ma, su percont of all deliseries should take I We in matitutions bey the year 2010 , 100 percent of Wedramenhowhtheattended by trained personnel and

 frebentace otatetrice Another issue is the cancer of senital fat, farticulaty cancer cervix. World wide, 8 millom new casenof anter are diagnosed and about 5

 in the eloped countrien and so percent in developing

[^0]
 risk of ins asibe camer thath sereencet womed. The impertance of sereening lies in the tat that ons't bell 19yy, the Ls semato intreduced alegishatan that heath insurance plans should inclute sereemog low tor
 the present status of reproduction beath a ma aromes among rural, urtanand urban slumdwallong women of Lijainal)istrict, Madthal Iratesh.

## Material and Methods

Three group of women were graco - bomdard questionmatre formo. Tha athare themsedo interviewed the women in the or lowal language Iwo humdred and fifty women, chomen ramdoml m: 1 l slums by visiting from buase to bouse, combtitured gromp A. Two handred and twentyite women commg to gymecolagical out patient dopatment in pabent companions and not sick womern, werembersmed mat constituted group B . The thind group. ( comprimed of 264 rural women infives illagenesuestioned hy insiting a women's meet between the athore ant the respondents. Thesefive villagen hase a propulation on 4724. The first group of question win Folated of
 The second group of yuestions was about knowtetge that anternatal check lup is necematy, the desime of hospital delisery among the women and tran of complications of proghancy. The that argup of
 heathereices and healthpersominel．The fourth group ot puestioneswas about whether they like bobe bexamired W the househom dai before going to a hospital and whether they so to the so colled dootors like （omponmalersamd puakks，if mismmanged by the dais． A sooring system was idopted．Positise soores were点保 for hasing commepte of mitematal health，for hasimg Fositise attitudes tomaris health services and for not fratiomathoushokd halth earessistem．The data were amalyat acording to aye groups and sociocromomed

 comated best amd interpreted as＂reproductive health
 ashan ingles．knombedgeotheath and graded as＂less
 and graded＂Lmandme＂

## Results

As per the ramdombly chosen samples，in the study group，in stams the fophation of boung women was

 and $33.3 "$ ohder onc．In rural areas also， $71.5 \%$ comprised of youmger women and $28.4^{\text {ono of of ofer ones．}}$
 coonomic groups as a ompared bo only $9.3^{\circ} \%$ of urban
 begreater in shama than in urban and rural arcas．Most

 that group．The total formation in higher incomegroup Was almost cypal in all the groups viy $4.8 \%$ in slums，
 the literacy rate in the three groups．Table lle gives the amalysis of the soores，ingroup A．Awarencss was very
 income groups．It wish better at $3.3 .3^{\circ}$ ． in $^{\text {in }}$ the higher imeome group．In all the three income groups，most of
 and higher incomesgroups respertively were elustered in less dware category．The scores amone the urban
 low income group， $35.3^{\circ \prime \prime}$ in the maddle amd hot＂＂in the high incorme group．The less awore womer wore more in the middle income group（ ）m $1+2$＂not the Women in the low income group were unawiorewhile it
 groups（TablelV）．Amongst rural wommon，515＂ぃ，57．2＂い
 groups were less aione The higher inconse group showed $33.3 \%$ of women ds uncomare as comparedto $41^{\circ} \%$ in middle arid $46.8 \%$ in low income groups．Age wise diatribution showed that monat 58.5 ．＂${ }^{\circ}$ ot rural
 being umaware and only $2.4^{\circ}$ b being dware．In chterts

 in alderly urban women as againet ko＂．in founger
 of elderly women were in the less amaregrour）（ I ahle IV）．These findings suggest that umber women alsomeed morehoalth education．Fairly low foreentageot urbam
 young and olderly groups respectively（Tahk N）．In slums the less awaregroup comprises a ctamk， $78.8^{\prime \prime}$ ．
 clderlywomen and $14.4 \%$ of the young age 㿻roup werm unaware（Table III）．As per income and age，mont of slum women wereless aware．The pleturowes better it urban women，almost equal numbers being in awome and less a ware category irrespectiseof ifumene In faral women，equal percentage of Women aroumd $\left(45+5^{\circ}\right.$ a）
 rurad womenisalmost negligibleirreapertiveotinconme and age（Table V）．
 aworeness in urban area ascompared to $44^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $18.1^{\prime \prime}$＂ in stums and rural areas reapertis dy．The rurde were need more attention forsancer awareness．Konowletge of presuancy and its complications washetter in urban
 and rumal areas respectively．The numberswithpositise attitudes towards health persomencl amd family＇s attitudes toward women，had equal dismbution．，ij，

## Table－I ：Characteristics of Respondants

| Character | Group A of 250 |  | Group B of 225 |  | Group Cof 265 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No． | Percent | No． | Percent | No | Percent |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20－4）Y＇ars | 176 | 70.4 | 150 | 66.6 | 189 | 7.5 |
| $40-70$ Years | 74 | 29.6 | 75 | 33.3 | 75 | 23.4 |
| Socio－economicstatus <br> Monthly Income（in Rs．） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1－10100 | 148 | 59.2 | 21 | 4.3 | 64 | 24.2 |
| 1000－5000 | 90 | 36 | 195 | 86.6 | 185 | 70.0 |
| 5000 and atome | 12 | 4.8 | y | 4 | 1.5 | 5.6 |

Table-II : Literacy Rate According to Completed Years of Schooling (In percent).

| Character | Group A | Group B | Group C |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illiterate | 48.5 | 44.2 | 83.4 |
| Up to 5 years | 26.2 | 17.0 | 12.02 |
| 6 to 10 years | 13.3 | 15.8 | 3.7 |
| Above 10 years | 120.0 | 22.1 | .76 |

Table - III : Income and Age wise Distribution of Awareness in Slum Women (In percent)

|  | Monthly Income (Rs.) |  |  | Age (Yrs.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 1-1000 \\ \text { No. } 150 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1000-5000 \\ \text { No. } 88 \end{gathered}$ | 5000 and above <br> No. 12 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20-40 \\ \text { No. } 180 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40-70 \\ \text { No. } 70 \end{gathered}$ |
| Aware (Score 12-14) | 5 | 4.5 | 33.3 | 4.4 | 8.5 |
| Less Aware (Score 5-11) | 80 | 84.0 | 66.6 | 78.8 | 89.7 |
| Unaware (Score 0-4) | 15 | 11.3 | 0 | 14.4 | 5.7 |

Table - IV : Income and Age wise Distribution of Awareness in Urban Women (In percent).

|  | Monthly Income (Rs.) |  |  |  | Age (yrs.) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 - 1 0 0 0}$ | $1000-5000$ | 5000 and above |  | $20-40$ | $40-70$ |
|  | No. 21 | No. 195 | No.9 |  | No.150 | No.75. |
| Aware (Score 12-14) | 42 | 35.3 | 66.6 | 36. | 40 |  |
| Less Aware (Score 5-11) | 42 | 56.9 | 33.3 | 52 | 60 |  |
| Unaware (Score 0-4) | 14.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |

Table-V : Income and Age Wise Distribution of Awareness in Rural Women (in percent).

|  | Income (Rs.) |  |  |  |  | Age (Yrs.) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-1000$ | $1000-5000$ | 5000 and above |  | $20-40$ | $40-70$ |  |
|  | No.64 | No. 185 |  | No.15 |  | No. 164 |  |
|  | No.100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aware (Score 12-14) | 1.5 | 1.6 | 0 | 2.4 | 0 |  |  |
| Less Aware (Score 5-11) | 51.5 | 57.2 | 66.6 | $58 / 5$ | 53 |  |  |
| Unaware (Score 0-4) | 46.8 | 41.0 | 33.3 | 39.6 | 48 |  |  |

Table-VI: Percentage of Women having Particular Knoweldge.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { No. } 250 \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { No. } 225 \end{gathered}$ | \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { C } \\ \text { No. } 264 \end{gathered}$ | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge of cancer | 110 | 44 | 141 | 62.6 | 48 | 18.1 |
| Knowledge of pregnancy and its complications | 174 | 69.6 | 180 | 80 | 95 | 35.9 |
| Positive attitude towards health and family's attitude towards women | 212 | 84.8 | 201 | 89.3 | 215 | 81.4 |
| Fair health practices | 126 | 50.4 | 156 | 69.3 | 21 | 7.9 |
| Desire for hospital or assisted delivery | 176 | 70.4 | 180 | 80 | 94 | 35.6 |
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## Discussion



 Furat womentisobrlow forem line, whichinconsistent


 gaom wamen the make uncondede that awareness
 Whatamuthamers Vhdte income and high income gromp in rural areas and in stums hall mostly in the


 Whomet of rumb women are unaware of cancer and lemomare of fregunancy whted isubes. Eighty percent of urtan women and 64.0"ロ of stum women have kmowletge offreghamby and it complications. 81 . 4 "o of rural wommenhoromatac attituten to health, but hasepone how ledgent antematat well-being Fobriner - Wownour maternal mortality ralio toless than 100 per Wotandisebatho, wohare to get the healthmachinery whthin reachof the rumal women. The Ford Foundation

 hehaviour for prevention and treatment ot ence the implementation of sereening for cancer utemaneatha Focus. ( ameer soteoning, ferograme shouk be mate withen reach of rural women. Sfectal atologh domm should be established in urban fuhle hopatak wht branches in theperiphery. Thecommunits based approwh for cancer screening in women in dition amd sillagen strongly recommended toberinclutedintheR( Hfremer
 of ruralwomen wished to hade hospital deliseries
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